



APPENDIX B

Central Sierra Fleet Analysis

Alpine County Fleet

Prepared by
Center for Sustainable Energy

As part of the
Central Sierra Zero Emission Vehicle Readiness Plan

SEPTEMBER 2019

I. Introduction

Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr.'s Executive Order B-48-18 committed to a target of 5 million zero-emission vehicles (ZEVs) registered and operating on California roads by 2030. As part of the Central Sierra region's (Region) efforts to comply with the mandates of the Executive Order, the Tuolumne County Transportation Council (TCTC) engaged the Center for Sustainable Energy (CSE) to develop a ZEV Readiness Plan (the Plan) for the four-county Central Sierra region, consisting of the counties of Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, and Tuolumne. The goal of the Plan is to improve opportunities for ZEV Readiness in the Region and resolve barriers to the widespread deployment of private and public ZEV infrastructure. In pursuit of this goal, Alpine County's fleet was analyzed to identify opportunities for electrification. The analysis focused on the following:

- Analyzing the current fleet and identifying inventory that can be replaced with electric or plug-in hybrid alternatives.
- Estimate the capital cost to replace current/future vehicles with electric or plug-in hybrid alternatives. Identify incentives and other cost savings associated with fleet transition.
- Discuss benefits of replacing internal combustion vehicles with ZEVs.

Findings

- The estimated total cost of replacing 78 vehicles with similar, 2019 model-year internal combustion vehicles is approximately \$2.43 million. Of these 78 vehicles, all have appropriate BEV replacements and 55 have appropriate PHEV replacements.
- The following two replacement scenarios (a full-BEV scenario and a PHEV-BEV mix) were identified to provide options for an Alpine County fleet transition:
 - **BEV-Only Scenario:** Replace all 78 eligible vehicles with fully electric alternatives. This will cost approximately \$7.79 million (an incremental cost of \$5.37 million), save up to \$220,400 in fuel costs and abate 3,060 tonnes of greenhouse gases (GHGs) over the vehicles' assumed lifetime of ten years.
 - **PHEV Scenario:** Replace 55 vehicles with PHEVs and the remaining 23 with fully electric alternatives. This will cost approximately \$5.32 million (an incremental cost of \$2.85 million), save up to \$631,800 in fuel costs and abate 2,400 tonnes of GHGs over the vehicles' assumed lifetime of ten years.
- Payback periods were nearly universally above 15 years; the lowest payback period available was 15 years, with a plug-in hybrid XL Hybrids F-250 replacing vehicles being driven an average of 14,000 miles annually.
- BEVs/PHEVs typically have lower maintenance requirements and costs, compared to internal combustion engine vehicles, but specific savings are difficult to predict given the nascent state of the market.

- Benefits of converting to ZEVs include reduced environmental impact, reduced maintenance costs, and achieving and maintaining regulatory compliance.

II. Current Fleet Replacement Analysis

CSE examined the County’s current fleet inventory to determine which vehicles may have suitable electric replacement options. This analysis found that there are **82 total vehicles** that can be replaced with electric vehicles. The table below shows the vehicles which were identified as having a suitable electric replacement option:

Table 1: Existing fleet vehicles with suitable replacement options (PHEV and/or BEV)

Count of Vehicles	Make/Model	Classification	Suitability of Available Replacement (BEV/PHEV)
9	INTERNATIONAL 4900	Heavy Diesel	Moderate/Low
4	Ford F450/550	Medium Diesel Pickup Truck	Moderate/Low
28	Ford F250/350	Light Diesel Pickup Truck	Moderate/Moderate
1	GMC 3500	Heavy Gas Pickup Truck	Moderate/Low
2	Ford F450	Medium Gas Pickup Truck	Moderate/High
8	Dodge RAM 1500	Light Gas Pickup Truck	Moderate/High
13	Ford Expedition/Explorer	Light Gas SUV	Moderate/High
13	Ford Escape	Light Gas Small SUV	Moderate/High

Please note that the recommendations outlined in section 3 are general recommendations based on vehicle size and weight and may not be direct replacements due to variations in requirements for duty cycle, passenger capacity, and/or other specific considerations. For more information and additional alternatives, please see the Internal Combustion Engine (ICE) Alternative Guidebook (Appendix C in the Central Sierra ZEV Plan).

III. Replacement Strategy

Vehicles

Each vehicle identified in Table 1 has a replacement option, however, some are more cost-effective than others. Specifically, recommendations for replacement of light-duty trucks are less cost-effective and offer fewer options than recommendations for replacing sedans. That being said, electric light-duty truck options are rapidly being released to the market and we expect that there will be more options available within ten years.

Two replacement strategies were developed to provide Alpine County with an all BEV replacement option and a BEV/PHEV option, which will require less capital investment and increase fleet resiliency. In the first scenario, all the eligible vehicles are replaced with BEVs, which maximizes the potential greenhouse gas reductions but will require the greatest capital investment. This scenario is depicted in Table 2a. Table 2b depicts the second scenario where a mix of BEV and PHEVs is identified. Tables 3a and 3b show the existing vehicles, their associated BEV/PHEV replacement vehicles, the estimated vehicle-life and entire-class fuel cost savings, and abated greenhouse gas emissions resulting from converting the entire vehicle class.

Table 2a: BEV-only fleet replacement scenario (cost)

Count	Class	BEV/ PHEV	Proposed Make and Model	ICE MSRP (unit)	ZEV MSRP (unit)	Incremental Cost (unit)	Cost of ZEV Replacement	Potential Incentives
9	Heavy Diesel Truck	BEV	Motiv Epic 6 on Ford F53 Platform Truck	\$25,000	\$228,095	\$203,095	\$2,052,855	\$90,000 x9 = \$810,000
4	Medium Diesel Pickup	BEV	Motiv Epic 4 Dearborn	\$38,000	\$188,570	\$150,570	\$806,280	\$80,000 x4 = \$320,000
28	Light Diesel Pickup	BEV	Motiv Epic 4 Dearborn	\$38,000	\$188,570	\$150,570	\$5,279,960	\$80,000 x28 = \$2,240,000
1	Heavy Gas Pickup	BEV	Motiv Epic 4 Dearborn	\$38,000	\$188,570	\$150,570	\$188,570	\$80,000 x1 = \$80,000
2	Medium Gas Pickup	BEV	Motiv EPIC 4 Dearborn – Truck Body	\$36,745	\$188,570	\$151,825	\$377,140	\$80,000 x2 = \$160,000
8	Light Gas Pickup	BEV	Lightning Systems Ford Transit Van	\$23,462	\$130,748	\$107,286	\$1,045,984	\$50,000 x8 = \$400,000
13	Light Gas Midsize SUV	BEV	Lightning Systems Ford Transit Van	\$28,647	\$130,748	\$102,101	\$1,699,724	\$50,000 x13 = \$650,000
13	Light Gas Small SUV	BEV	Lightning Systems Ford Transit Van	\$23,270	\$130,748	\$107,478	\$1,699,724	\$50,000 x13 = \$650,000
Subtotal						\$10,683,130	\$13,098,237	\$5,310,000
TOTAL INCREMENTAL COST (Incremental Cost – Incentives)						\$5,373,130		

Table 2b: PHEV-BEV fleet replacement scenario (cost)

Count	Class	BEV/ PHEV	Proposed Make and Model	ICE MSRP (unit)	ZEV MSRP (unit)	Incremental Cost (unit)	Cost of ZEV Replacement	Potential Incentives
9	Heavy Diesel Truck	BEV	Motiv Epic 6 on Ford F53 Platform Truck	\$25,000	\$228,095	\$203,095	\$2,052,855	\$90,000 x9 = \$810,000
13	Light Gas Midsize SUV	BEV	Lightning Systems Ford Transit Van	\$28,647	\$130,748	\$102,101	\$1,699,724	\$50,000 x13 = \$650,000
1	Heavy Gas Pickup	BEV	Motiv Epic 4 Dearborn – Truck Chassis	\$38,000	\$188,570	\$150,570	\$188,570	\$80,000 x1 = \$80,000
4	Medium Diesel Pickup	PHEV	XL Hybrids F-250 with XLP Plug-In Hybrid Upfit	\$38,400	\$63,400	\$25,000	\$253,600	\$6,000 x4 = \$24,000
28	Light Diesel Pickup	PHEV	XL Hybrids F-250 with XLP Plug-In Hybrid Upfit	\$38,400	\$63,400	\$25,000	\$1,775,200	\$6,000 x28 = \$168,000
2	Medium Gas Pickup	PHEV	XL Hybrids F-250 with XLP Plug-In Hybrid Upfit	\$38,400	\$63,400	\$25,000	\$126,800	\$6,000 x4 = \$24,000
8	Light Gas Pickup	PHEV	XL Hybrids F-150 with XLP Plug-In Hybrid Upfit	\$28,647	\$50,000	\$21,353	\$400,000	\$2,000 x8 = \$16,000
13	Light Gas Small SUV	PHEV	XL Hybrids F-150 with XLP Plug-In Hybrid Upfit	\$23,270	\$50,000	\$26,730	\$650,000	\$2,000 x13 = \$26,000
Subtotal						\$4,674,052	\$7,146,749	\$1,822,000
						TOTAL INCREMENTAL COST		\$2,852,052
						(Incremental Cost – Incentives)		

Table 3a: Battery-electric vehicle replacement table.

Fully Electric Options								
Car Class	Representative Model Being Replaced	Replacement Vehicle	Quantity of Eligible Vehicles in Class	Estimated Per-Vehicle Annual Fuel Savings	Vehicle Lifetime Savings	Estimated Payback Period (Years)	Total Class Lifetime Fuel Savings	Total Class Lifetime GHG Savings (tonnes)
Heavy Duty Diesel	International 4900	Motiv Epic 6 on Ford F53 Platform Truck	9	\$261	\$2,857	> 15	\$25,711	139.77
Medium Duty Diesel	Ford F450/550	Lightning Electric Zero Emission Ford E-450 Cutaway	4	\$220	\$2,411	> 15	\$9,644	61.48
Light Duty Diesel	Ford F250/350	Lightning Systems Ford Transit Van	28	\$238	\$2,603	> 15	\$72,893	2,092.62
Heavy Duty Gas	GMC 3500	Motiv Epic 4 Dearborn	1	\$285	\$3,119	> 15	\$3,119	13.54
Medium Duty Gas	Ford F450	Motiv Epic 4 Dearborn	2	\$267	\$2,924	> 15	\$5,848	25.38
Light Duty Gas	Dodge RAM 1500	Lightning Systems Ford Transit Van	8	\$65	\$713	> 15	\$5,706	37.29
Light Duty Gas	Ford Expedition/Explorer	Lightning Systems Ford Transit Van	13	\$634	\$6,947	> 15	\$90,312	447.75
Light Duty Gas	Ford Escape	Lightning Systems Ford Transit Van	13	\$50	\$548	> 15	\$7,119	246.91

*It is important to note that annual mileage utilization will significantly impact results such as estimated annual fuel and GHG savings

Table 3b: Plug-in hybrid vehicle replacement table.

Plug-in Hybrid Options								
Car Class	Representative Model Being Replaced	Replacement Vehicle	Quantity of Eligible Vehicles in Class	Estimated Per-Vehicle Annual Fuel Savings	Vehicle Lifetime Savings	Estimated Payback Period (Years)	Total Class Lifetime Fuel Savings	Total Class Lifetime GHG Savings (tonnes)
Heavy Duty Diesel	International 4900	Motiv Epic 6 on Ford F53 Platform Truck	9	\$261	\$2,857	> 15	\$25,711	139.77
Light Duty Gas	Ford Expedition/Explorer	Lightning Systems Ford Transit Van	13	\$634	\$6,947	> 15	\$90,312	447.75
Heavy Duty Gas	GMC 3500	Motiv Epic 4 Dearborn	1	\$285	\$3,119	> 15	\$3,119	13.54
Medium Duty Diesel	Ford F450/550	XL Hybrids F-250	4	\$304	\$3,326	>15	\$13,304	50.24
Light Duty Diesel	Ford F250/350	XL Hybrids F-250	28	\$1,018	\$11,143	15	\$312,017	1,357.83
Medium Duty Gas	Ford F450	XL Hybrids F-250	2	\$332	\$3,634	>15	\$7,268	19.76
Light Duty Gas	Dodge RAM 1500	XL Hybrids F-250	8	\$180	\$1,973	>15	\$15,782	46.93
Light Duty Gas	Ford Escape	Ford Escape PHEV (upcoming)	13	\$789	\$8,644	>15	\$112,375	347.53

*It is important to note that annual milage utilization will significantly impact results such as estimated annual fuel and GHG savings

Tables 3a and 3b (above) show existing vehicles, their associated BEV replacement vehicles, the estimated vehicle-life and entire-class fuel cost savings, and abated greenhouse gas emissions resulting from converting the entire vehicle class.

The following assumptions (Table 3) were incorporated in the above tables:

Table 4: Assumptions underpinning tables 3a and 3b (above).

Assumption	Value
Vehicle Service Life*	10 years
Gasoline Price (\$/gallon)	\$2.95
Gasoline GHG Intensity (kg CO2e/gallon)	8.78 kg
Diesel Price (\$/gallon)	\$2.70
Diesel GHG Intensity (kg CO2e/gallon)	10.21 kg
Electricity Price † (\$/kWh)	\$0.163
Electricity GHG Intensity (kg CO2e/kWh)	0.321 kg
*Vehicles are frequently kept longer than this value, providing further savings on fuel and GHG abatement	

In general, electric light-duty truck and SUV options are currently limited in the market, and therefore towing capacities, cargo volumes, and other specifications are not an exact match. Specific suitability depends on several variables, including terrain, use intensity, and passenger capacity requirements. Plug-in hybrid heavy-duty vehicles, such as school buses, are even rarer, and thus are typically excluded from the analysis.

Furthermore, the upfront costs of purchasing a plug-in vehicle are significantly higher than comparable internal-combustion models. Tables 3a and 3b specify this incremental cost and include available state rebates (where applicable) as a separate column.

The vehicle replacement analysis used average fuel prices as reported by the Toulumne County Transportation Council, and divided the fleet’s vehicles into classes shown above, using a representative vehicle’s mileage and fuel consumption to reflect the “typical” vehicle within each class. The representative vehicle was then compared to the replacement plug-in vehicle.

Alpine County has significant opportunity to reduce operating costs and GHG emissions by pursuing BEV replacements for their diesel pickup trucks. The current absence of an appropriate BEV replacement for

gas SUVs makes a direct replacement less likely, but potential savings are present. Newer model years and normal should be lower priority than older vehicles but do represent similar opportunity for savings.

In the absence of the HVIP incentive program, most of vehicles above would face payback periods that far exceed the vehicle's expected usable lifetime.

Other Considerations

Three primary levers have an outsized impact on the financial payback for electrified vehicles: the annual mileage driven; the price differential between petroleum fuels and electricity; and the ability to claim state and federal rebates. The estimated annual mileages for Alpine County's fleet are relatively low (averaging around 8,000 miles annually), and the County can procure conventional petroleum fuels at a significantly lower cost than the state average. As Alpine County is currently unable to directly claim the federal tax credit or lease vehicles to take advantage of the credit, these factors combine to put the agency at a disadvantage when examining only economic payback. However, vehicles with payback periods longer than 15 years may not offer a good economic return, but can still offer fuel savings, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and position the fleet as a forward-thinking, environmentally conscious entity.

The procurement of vehicles should be straightforward and does not differ significantly from the procurement process for internal-combustion vehicles. The Vehicle Replacement Guide enclosed in the email notes several vendors that sell both the CSE-recommended vehicles and alternative options. These are typically secondary vendors, though there are several manufacturers that can sell directly to fleets. Some EVs are available and eligible for reduced cooperative purchase through organizations such as Sourcewell. Incentives outlined below as section 4 offer the ability to lower the upfront cost of procurement but may be subject to additional stipulations and conditions.

Alpine County should carefully evaluate all fuel types and available incentives when vehicle replacement decisions are made. California offers rebates and incentives for alternative fuel vehicles and infrastructure: currently available incentives are outlined later in this chapter.

Accessible charging and fueling infrastructure are crucial for successfully incorporating ZEVs into fleets. It is a best practice to evaluate, site, and construct enough infrastructure prior to adding ZEV vehicles. Ideally, electricity demand evaluations are completed, and the appropriate number of charging/fueling stations are installed before vehicles are ordered. While charging at lower power levels (2kW - 7 kW) is adequate for the small batteries found in passenger cars, vehicles with high gross vehicle weights typically require larger batteries. These large vehicles may require higher-powered charging (30kW – 500kW) in applications that require minimal downtime.

IV. Incentives

a. Low Carbon Transportation Funding

The California Energy Commission (CEC) and ARB offer alternative transportation grants and rebates through under the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program (ARFVTP) and other low carbon transportation funding. Funding is allocated annually and the 2019-2020 budget for the CEC ARFVTP Program (www.energy.ca.gov/altfuels/) is approximately \$95.2 million. ARB managed about \$400 million in rebates and projects in FY 2017-18 and 2018-19 through the Air Quality Improvement Program/ Low Carbon Transportation funding plan (www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/aqip/aqip.htm).

The calculations that underpin Tables 3a and 3b use the California Hybrid Truck and Bus Voucher Incentive Project (HVIP) program to offset the incremental cost of electrified buses and trucks.

Clean Vehicle Rebate Project (CVRP)

CSE manages ARB's Clean Vehicle Rebate Project (CVRP) (<https://cleanvehiclerebate.org/>), which provides rebates of up to \$2,500 for light-duty battery electric and plug-in hybrid vehicle purchases. CSE received \$120 million in funding for FY 2018-2019. Table 5, *CVRP Rebate Amounts for Light-Duty Vehicles*, summarizes the rebates available.

Table 5: CVRP rebate amounts for light-duty vehicles

Vehicle Class	Maximum Incentive
Light duty zero emission vehicles (ZEV)	\$2,500
Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEV)	\$1,500
Zero emission motorcycles (ZEM)	\$ 900
Neighborhood electric vehicles (NEV)	\$ 900
Note: Eligible vehicles and associated rebate amounts are subject to change. Visit the CVRP program site for eligible vehicle models and associated rebates.	

Hybrid Truck and Bus Voucher Incentive Project (HVIP)

Rebates for commercial vehicles including trucks and buses are available through ARB's Hybrid Truck and Bus Voucher Incentive Project (HVIP) (www.californiahvip.org). As of September 2019, the HVIP estimated fund balance has been exhausted and a waitlist is in effect, but additional funding is expected in January 2020. A summary of the incentives available is provided in the ARB HVIP Voucher Amounts for Trucks and Buses tables below. Additional incentives are available for transit buses, vehicle conversions, and in disadvantaged communities.

Table 6: HVIP Voucher Amounts for *Zero-Emissions* Trucks & Buses

Gross Vehicle Weight (in pounds)	HVIP Maximum Voucher
5,001 – 8,500 lbs	\$20,000
8,501 – 10,000 lbs	\$25,000
10,001 – 14,000 lbs	\$50,000
14,001 – 19,500 lbs	\$80,000
19,501 – 26,000 lbs	\$90,000
26,001 – 33,000 lbs	\$95,000
> 33,001 lbs	\$150,000

Table 7. Maximum HVIP Voucher Amounts for *Hybrid* Trucks & Buses

Gross Vehicle Weight (in pounds)	HVIP Maximum Voucher
6,001 – 8,500 lbs (plug-in hybrids only)	½ incremental cost, up to \$8,000
8,500 – 10,000 lbs (plug-in hybrids only)	½ incremental cost, up to \$10,000
10,001 – 19,500 lbs	½ incremental cost, up to \$15,000
19,501 – 26,000 lbs	½ incremental cost, up to \$20,000
26,001 – 33,000 lbs	½ incremental cost, up to \$25,000
> 33,000 lbs	½ incremental cost, up to \$30,000

Note that HVIP additionally provides incentives for electric vehicle charging infrastructure, as outlined in the following Infrastructure section.

Additional Funding Avenues (Vehicles)

Volkswagen Settlement Funding

The Volkswagen Environmental Mitigation trust provides \$130 million to the state of California to “replace eligible Class 4-8 school, transit, and shuttle buses with new, commercially available, zero-emission technologies” (Air Resources Board, 2018). A school bus is eligible for a maximum incentive of \$400,000; a transit bus is eligible for a maximum incentive of \$180,000 (battery electric) or \$400,000 (fuel cell); and a shuttle bus is eligible for a maximum incentive of \$160,000. All of these awards additionally cover supportive infrastructure. For more information, please visit

<https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/californias-beneficiary-mitigation-plan>

NOTE: VW Mitigation Funds are not stackable with HVIP funds; it is an either/or rebate.

b. Infrastructure

This analysis only covers the costs and fuel savings associated with the ownership and operation of fleet vehicles themselves. Another crucial component of electrification is the presence of reliable onsite charging infrastructure to ensure that vehicles are present and fueled when they are needed. Table 8, below, outlines the range of costs for the first EVCS port (plug) installed at a given site. Table 9 outlines specific installation variables that are incorporated into the “installation” cost element shown in Table 8. Note that many buses and other heavy-duty vehicles use DC Fast Charging as their default charging method.

Table 8: Approximate costs for non-residential, single-port electric vehicle charging stations (EVCS)
Cost data from Dept. of Energy (2015)

Cost Element	Level 1		Level 2		DC Fast Charge	
	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
Hardware	\$300	\$1,500	\$400	\$6,500	\$10,000	\$40,000
Permitting	\$100	\$500	\$100	\$1,000	\$500	\$1,000
Installation	\$0*	\$3,000	\$600	\$12,700	\$8,500	\$51,000
Total	\$400	\$5,000	\$1,100	\$20,200	\$19,000	\$92,200

Table 9: Installation component cost ranges

Cost data from SANDAG (2016)

Cost Element	Cost
Conduit	\$1.50-\$2.50/ft
Trenching	\$25-\$100/ft
Concrete Patch	\$14-\$15/sq.ft
Asphalt Patch	\$10-\$11/sq.ft

Several funding programs exist to reduce the overall cost of installing EVCS at sites.

California Hybrid Truck and Bus Voucher Incentive Program (HVIP)

The HVIP program offers a voucher enhancement of up to \$30,000 per vehicle voucher received to reduce the cost of installing EV infrastructure intended to support the ordered vehicles. The enhancements require a separate application, are approved on a case-by-case basis and can be combined with other funding sources to cover up to 100% of the total capital cost of installation.

Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E)

PG&E administers two funding programs for electric vehicle infrastructure. These programs include the FleetReady Program and Fast Charge Program.

- **EV Fleet** – Starting in May 2019. PG&E received \$236 million in eligible funds from the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for infrastructure supporting fleet vehicle charging. PG&E is working with fleet managers that request funding across Northern and Central California to install EVCS at 700 sites (pge.com/fleetready).
- **Fast Charge Program** – Starting in summer 2019. PG&E will fund and build infrastructure for public DCFCs, including 25% located within DACs. Furthermore, PG&E will offer rebates for customers in disadvantaged communities (DACs) who wish to purchase DCFCs (CPUC Approves New PG&E Projects to Help Accelerate Electric Vehicle Adoption in California, 2018).

California Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program (CALeVIP)

CALeVIP offers financial incentives for eligible EVCS infrastructure installations and works with local governments and community partners to develop regional EV charging projects statewide. CSE manages each regional project, distributes rebates, and provides outreach and informational materials to assist property owners and service providers. Though funding is not available in the current 2019-2020 funding cycle for the Central Sierra region, new projects are added periodically, and the region may be included in future funding. For more information, please see the CALeVIP website and browse the [currently available projects](#).

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program

The FAST Act authorizes funding of \$2.3 billion to \$2.5 billion to the CMAQ program for apportionment to the states. States, local governments and transit agencies can use these funds to invest in transportation projects that support the Clean Air Act. Projects eligible for the funds include alternative fuel vehicles and infrastructure. A project supported with CMAQ funds must demonstrate that the project reduces emissions, is located in, or benefits an EPA designated nonattainment or maintenance area and is a transportation project (23 U.S.C. 149) (Federal Highway Administration, 2017). Projects located on FAST-designated corridors (including US 395 and SR 120) receive funding priority over those not located on these corridors.

Note: under the current Buy America requirements that apply to projects funded through this avenue, CMAQ funds may prove prohibitively difficult to utilize.

Volkswagen Settlement

- *Electrify America*
The Electrify America program is a subsidiary of Volkswagen with the goal of investing \$800 million into zero-emission vehicle projects between 2017 and 2027. This investment has typically been into Level 2 and DC Fast Charge infrastructure. Communities can suggest locations, but final siting decisions are ultimately up to Volkswagen/Electrify America.
- *California Volkswagen Mitigation*

The Volkswagen Environmental Mitigation Trust provides approximately \$423 million for California to mitigate the additional NOx emissions from diesel Volkswagen vehicles equipped with defeat devices. As part of this, \$5 million will be allocated in a competitive solicitation for EV infrastructure buildout. The funding cycle will begin inviting solicitations in Q3/Q4 2019 with the goal of filling physical and funding gaps in installed EVCS.



Center for
Sustainable
Energy™

One simple mission — DECARBONIZE.

The Center for Sustainable Energy® (CSE) is a nonprofit offering clean energy program administration and technical advisory services. With the experience and streamlined efficiency of a for-profit operation, CSE leads with the passion and heart of a nonprofit. We work nationwide with energy policymakers, regulators, public agencies, businesses and others as an expert implementation partner and trusted resource.

EnergyCenter.org